



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/731,178	12/06/2000	Steven D. Goedeke	P-8896	9273
27581	7590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
710 MEDTRO MS-LC340	NIC PARKWAY NE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IS, MN 55432-5604		2655	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DT

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/731,178	GOEDEKE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control of the cont	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai 704(b).	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The apporiginally set in the final reject.	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			*
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NC	)T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	i(s) a)  will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	)∏ will be entered w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-30.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		Juan Mi Suban McFa Primary exa	DOEN

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) the amended claim language presented in the earlier RCE has been addressed. 2) the applicant has not officially challenged the official notice, as noted in the final office action dated 8/6/2004, and 3) the newly presented arguments (on page 12) requires further consideration and/or search.